

# Generational Giving: Japanese High School Students' Motivation to Donate Blood

Luna Kinoshita<sup>1\*</sup>, Aya Goto<sup>2</sup>, Makoto Kashimura<sup>3</sup>, Norihiko Watanabe<sup>4</sup>, Kenneth E. Nollet<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Medicine, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Center for Integrated Science and Humanities, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Education Evaluation Division, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Medical Information and Supply Management Division, Fukushima Red Cross Blood Donor Center, Fukushima, Japan; <sup>5</sup>Department of Blood Transfusion and Transplantation Immunology, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan

\*Corresponding Author: Luna Kinoshita; m171034@fmu.ac.jp; kcluna1997@gmail.com

## INTRODUCTION

**A**ccording to the World Health Organization, most countries recruit blood donors aged between 18 and 65 years for allogeneic transfusion, that is, for transfusion to patients unrelated to the donor. In high-income countries, 75% of blood transfusions are given to people aged 65 years or older.<sup>1</sup> In Japan, the population over 65 years old comprised more than 28%,<sup>2</sup> whereas the working-age (15–64 years old) comprised 59.7% of the population in 2018.<sup>3</sup> The number of young people (under 15 years old) who could be future donors has been decreasing rapidly, comprising just 12% of the 2018 population.<sup>3</sup> The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare estimated that the annual blood transfusion demand would increase from about 8,500,000 blood component units in 2020 to 9,000,000 blood component units in 2027, while the donated blood from which those components are derived would decrease from about 6,500,000 units in 2020 to 6,300,000 units. Therefore, the proportion of young people who donate blood and the frequency with which they donate warrant urgent attention. Fukushima is Japan's third largest prefecture by area, but with only 1.5% of the nation's total and working-age population; 29% of Fukushima's population is 65 years old and above.<sup>4</sup> In Japan, a transfused 'unit' of red cells, platelets, or plasma is historically based on a 200 mL whole blood donation; this volume can be collected from healthy volunteers as young as 16 years old. Donations of 400 mL are accepted from 17-year-old men and 18-year-old women, according to policies liberalized in 2011. However, anxiety about COVID-19 infection greatly reduced the number of volunteer donors at various places affected by the spread of SARS-CoV-2.<sup>5</sup> The Japanese Red Cross Society was already concerned

about maintaining an adequate pool of blood donors. They have been trying to increase organizational participation and familiarity with blood donation, but putting even more effort into donor recruitment has been required in this context.<sup>6</sup> Transfusion demand in a rapidly aging society is thus complicated by various health crises. The aim of this article is to explore factors influencing young people's motivation to donate blood.

We previously asked university students about motivators and barriers to donating blood and found that the proportion of those 'frightened by blood donation' significantly differed between donors and non-donors.<sup>7</sup> According to Lowe and Ferguson, people who receive positively framed messages (e.g., 'lives saved') tend to be more confident about blood safety than those who receive negatively framed messages (e.g., 'lives lost').<sup>8</sup> A study conducted in Korea showed that altruism among high school students greatly increased blood donation rates and concluded that such correlates are important to develop a blood donation program.<sup>9</sup> Another study also indicated that altruistic feelings were associated with donors' satisfaction with their treatment and blood donor loyalty after giving blood for the first time.<sup>10</sup> Since our previous study focused on students' negative attitudes toward blood donation, we explored their positive attitudes in this study to advance previous work. We focused on a questionnaire item that asked one's perceptions of blood donation as 'doing good for others' and actual donation behaviors among Japanese high school students. Our specific hypothesis was that the perception of blood donation as 'doing good for others' would associate with students' actual donation behavior, and the following analysis plan was to explore factors associated with that perception.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional study implemented by the Fukushima Red Cross Blood Donor Center. The center conducted a questionnaire survey in 2018 at 10 high schools in Fukushima Prefecture. Four students did not respond to the survey. The questionnaire assessed basic characteristics of students and past blood donation experience, along with 10 items as motivators and 8 items as barriers for blood donation. These motivator and barrier items emerged from our previous study,<sup>7</sup> which was also conducted in collaboration with the Fukushima Red Cross Blood Donor Center. The age range of Japanese high schoolers in general is 15 to 18 years.

From that database, we analyzed the following: basic student characteristics (which school, year in school, gender); subjective health; ABO blood group and Rh (almost always Rh-positive among Asians); transfusion experience of close friends, family members, and neighbors; and familiarity with donor eligibility criteria (Table 1). As for the last knowledge item, we asked, 'Do you know any of the criteria under which you are not eligible to donate blood?' and the response options were yes or no.

As the main outcome measurement, the questionnaire asked, 'How important do you think the following reason is when you decide whether or not to donate blood, on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 = very important to 5 = not important at all?' Among the motivators, our focus this time was 'doing good for others'. For statistical analysis, we placed the perception of 'doing good for others' into 2 groups. Included in the 'important' group are those who answered very important or important (1 and 2), and others (3, 4, and 5) were included in the 'not important' group. After confirming this perception associated with students' donation behavior, we investigated its association with other variables.

Survey data were analyzed using SPSS version 25 for Windows. As for factors associated with the perception of 'doing good for others' through blood donation, we first performed chi-square tests. Significant items by univariate analysis ( $P < 0.05$ ) were entered into multivariate analysis using binomial regression.

The original survey was conducted by the Fukushima Red Cross Blood Donor Center. It was an anonymous self-administered questionnaire survey conducted in classrooms. Our secondary analysis of the database was approved by the Fukushima Red Cross Blood Donor


**Table 1.** Characteristics of students.

Variables	N (%)
	N=4,506
Year in high school	
First	1,433 (31.8)
Second	1,654 (36.7)
Third	1,414 (31.4)
School	
School 1	373 (8.28)
School 2	43 (0.95)
School 3	235 (5.22)
School 4	664 (14.7)
School 5	1,189 (26.4)
School 6	57 (1.26)
School 7	455 (10.1)
School 8	1,267 (28.1)
School 9	166 (3.68)
School 10	53 (1.18)
Gender	
Male	2,489 (55.2)
Female	2,005 (44.5)
Subjective health	
Excellent	1,205 (26.7)
Good	2,696 (60.0)
Not good	409 (9.08)
Poor	94 (2.09)
Knowing ABO blood type	
Yes	4,298 (95.4)
No	202 (4.48)
Knowing Rh blood type	
Yes	516 (11.5)
No	3,985 (88.4)
Close friends, family members, neighbors ever received blood transfusion	
Yes	246 (5.46)
No	4,221 (93.7)
Knowing eligibility criteria of blood donation	
Yes	1,960 (43.5)
No	2,492 (55.3)

Center, as guided by Red Cross policy, national law, and the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Of note, the school names were masked in the database we received.

## RESULTS

Among those in the Red Cross database, 4,506 students from all 10 high schools responded (99.9%). Male students comprised 55.2% overall; first-, second-, and third-year students comprised 31.8, 36.7, and 31.4%, respectively (Table 1).



**Figure 1.** Number of past blood donations correlates with the perception of blood donation as 'doing good for others'.

Figure 1 illustrates how the number of past blood donations relates to the degree that 'doing good for others' is perceived. The 'very important' and 'important' groups correspond to exactly those survey answers, with the remaining answers aggregated in the 'not sure, not important' group. We found that students who donated blood more often tended to cite 'doing good for others' as an important motivator: the percentage of those answering 'very important' was 37.2% in the no donation group, 54.7% in the single donation group, and 62.0% in the multiple donation group.

By multivariate analysis, as shown in Table 2, two high schools had a significantly lower proportion of students answering that 'doing good for others' was important: 67.2% for School 1 and 65.5% for School 5. The probability of answering 'doing good for others' as important was significantly higher among female students [adjusted odds ratio (AOR)=1.853], those with better subjective health (AOR=2.433), those knowing their blood type (AOR=1.694), and those knowing eligibility criteria of blood donation (AOR=1.633).

## DISCUSSION

As hypothesized based on previous studies from Korea<sup>9</sup> and Germany,<sup>10</sup> we found that the perception of 'doing good for others' was associated with students' past blood donation experiences. Our further analysis of factors associated with the perception might usefully inform donor recruitment efforts.

Encouraging healthy activities is intrinsically good and has the potential to improve subjective self-assessments of health. Especially for female students, we need to address low hemoglobin while striving to

motivate potential donors. In our previous report analyzing data from blood centers in northeastern Japan (Miyagi and Fukushima Prefectures), over 20% of students attempting donation were deferred, mainly for low hemoglobin, with the probability of such a deferral 35 times higher for female students than for male students.<sup>11</sup>

In contrast to low hemoglobin as a donation barrier for female students, low motivation seems to be a barrier for male students. Outreach efforts should address this. Hupfer showed that male undergraduates in Canada responded well to moderately self-referencing and agentic donor recruitment messages.<sup>12</sup> A systematic review of motivating factors, by gender, suggests that it could also motivate male students if marketing campaigns encouraged current donors to recruit their friends.<sup>13</sup>

Our findings that knowledge – of blood type and donor eligibility criteria – associates with the perception of blood donation as 'doing good for others' concurs with Hong and Loke that enhancement of health education programs related to blood and blood donation for young people is important to increase their awareness.<sup>14</sup> However, a primary limitation to the generalization of our results is that this cross-sectional study cannot infer causality from statistical correlations between perceptions and behavior.

Nevertheless, education is widely perceived as an essential element of effective donor recruitment. Gender and other factors warrant further attention. Currently, we are developing blood donation education programs for elementary and junior high school students as a part of a student-initiated Popularization of Medical knowledge (POMk) Project.<sup>15</sup> Mentors in this

**Table 2.** Comparison of variables between those who think 'doing good for others' is important, or not, when deciding whether or to donate blood.

Variables	Doing good for others N (%)		Univariate <sup>a</sup>	Multivariate <sup>b</sup>		
	Important (N=3,028)	Not important (N=949)	P value	AOR	(95% CI)	P value
Year in high school						
First	981 (75.2)	323 (24.8)	0.014	1.088	(0.896, 1.321)	0.397
Second	1,178 (78.6)	321 (21.4)		1.171	(0.961, 1.427)	0.117
Third	868 (74.0)	305 (26.0)		Reference		
School						
School 1	232 (67.2)	113 (32.8)	<0.01	0.335	(0.136, 0.823)	0.017
School 2	34 (87.2)	5 (12.8)		1.115	(0.286, 4.349)	0.875
School 3	187 (85.8)	31 (14.2)		0.695	(0.268, 1.804)	0.455
School 4	433 (76.5)	133 (23.5)		0.416	(0.171, 1.013)	0.053
School 5	675 (65.5)	356 (34.5)		0.280	(0.116, 0.673)	<0.01
School 6	45 (84.9)	8 (15.1)		0.689	(0.216, 2.196)	0.529
School 7	356 (82.8)	74 (17.2)		0.577	(0.233, 1.427)	0.234
School 8	891 (81.2)	206 (18.8)		0.519	(0.215, 1.253)	0.145
School 9	132 (88.6)	17 (11.4)		1.041	(0.374, 2.892)	0.939
School 10	43 (87.8)	6 (12.2)		Reference		
Gender						
Males	1,553 (70.3)	656 (29.7)	<0.01	Reference		
Females	1,469 (83.4)	292 (16.6)		1.853	(1.566, 2.194)	<0.01
Subjective health						
Excellent	853 (79.1)	225 (20.9)	<0.01	2.433	(1.464, 4.043)	<0.01
Good	1,815 (76.5)	558 (23.5)		1.93	(1.178, 3.163)	<0.01
Fair	241 (67.7)	115 (32.3)		1.288	(0.753, 2.204)	0.355
Poor	46 (59.7)	31 (40.3)		Reference		
Knowing ABO blood type						
Yes	2,912 (76.6)	892 (23.4)	<0.01	1.694	(1.191, 2.410)	<0.01
No	114 (66.7)	57 (33.3)		Reference		
Knowing Rh blood type						
Yes	387 (83.0)	79 (17.0)	<0.01	1.216	(0.927, 1.596)	0.158
No	2,640 (75.2)	870 (24.8)		Reference		
Close friends/family members/neighbors ever received blood transfusion						
Yes	186 (82.7)	39 (17.3)	0.018	1.321	(0.911, 1.917)	0.142
No	2,818 (75.7)	903 (24.3)		Reference		
Knowing eligibility criteria of blood donation						
Yes	1,474 (83.0)	301 (17.0)	<0.01	1.633	(1.381, 1.931)	<0.01
No	1,528 (70.7)	633 (29.3)		Reference		

<sup>a</sup>Chi-square test was used.

<sup>b</sup>Binominal logistic regression was used to calculate odds ratios of answering 'doing good for others' as important by entering variables that were significant in the univariate analysis. AOR=Adjusted odds ratio, 95% CI = 95% Confidence interval.

program are themselves part of a legacy that includes Fukushima Medical University's response to the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake, when students were among the hospital volunteers ready to donate blood for emergency transfusions if Red Cross inventories were insufficient or unavailable.<sup>16</sup> Education spans generations. Generation-specific health education aiming

to ensure the future of blood donation may also improve the overall health of society.

### Acknowledgments

This work was funded by a grant from high schools in Fukushima Prefecture. We are also grateful to the Fukushima Red Cross for their cooperation.

### Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest pertinent to the work described in this manuscript.

### Conflict of interest and funding

The authors have not received any funding or benefits from industry or elsewhere to conduct this study.

### REFERENCES

1. Blood safety and availability. 2019. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/blood-safety-and-availability> [cited 18 August 2021].
2. Japan crosses new aging milestone, with 20% now 70 or older. 2018. Available from: <https://asia.nikkei.com/Economy/Japan-crosses-new-aging-milestone-with-20-now-70-or-older> [cited 18 August 2021].
3. Demography. Available from: <https://data.oecd.org/pop/population.htm#indicator-chart> [cited 18 August 2021].
4. Population of three age groups by prefecture. 2015. Available from: <https://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/nenkan/66nenkan/1431-02.html> [cited 18 August 2021].
5. Xiaohong C, Ming R, Fenghua C, Liliang L, Hang L, Xuefeng W. Blood transfusion during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Blood Transfus* 2020; 18(2): 79–82. doi: 10.2450/2020.0076-20
6. Japanese Red Cross on alert as blood donations fall amid virus outbreak. 2020. Available from: <https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2020/03/05/national/red-cross-blood-donations> [cited 18 August 2021].
7. Ngoma AM, Goto A, Nollet KE, Machida M, Kanno T, Nollet KE, et al. Barriers and motivators to blood donation among university students in Japan: development of a measurement tool. *Vox Sang* 2013; 105(3): 219–24. doi: 10.1111/vox.12044
8. Lowe KC, Ferguson E. Benefit and risk perceptions in transfusion medicine: blood and blood substitutes. *J Intern Med* 2003; 253(5): 498–507. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2796.2003.01149.x
9. Kim YJ, Shin MH. The effect of knowledge and altruism on the blood donation behavior among high school students [abstract]. *Korean Soc Blood Transfus* 2010; 21(1): 16–24.
10. Boenigk S, Leipnitz S, Scherhag C. Altruistic values, satisfaction and loyalty among first-time blood donors. *Int J Nonprofit Volunt Sect Mark* 2011; 16(4): 356–70. doi: 10.1002/nvsm.433
11. Ngoma AM, Goto A, Nollet KE, Sawamura Y, Ohto H, Yasumura S. Blood donor deferral among students in Northern Japan: challenges ahead. *Transfus Med Hemother* 2014; 41(4): 251–6. doi: 10.1159/000365406
12. Hupfer ME. Helping me, helping you: self-referencing and gender roles in donor advertising. *Transfusion* 2006; 46(6): 996–1005. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2006.00834.x
13. Carver A, Chell K, Davison TE, Masser BM. What motivates men to donate blood? A systematic review of the evidence. *Vox Sang* 2017; 113(3): 205–19. doi: 10.1111/vox.12625
14. Hong J, Loke AY. Hong Kong young people's blood donation behavior. *Asian J Transfus Sci* 2011; 5(1): 49–52. doi: 10.4103/0973-6247.76000
15. POMk Project. 2021. Available from: <http://www.pomk.org> [cited 18 August 2021].
16. Nollet KE, Ohto H, Yasuda H, Hasegawa A. The great East Japan earthquake of March 11, 2011, from the vantage point of blood banking and transfusion medicine. *Transfus Me Rev* 2013; 27(1): 29–35. doi: 10.1016/j.tmr.2012.07.001